



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### **Materials with desirable Thermodynamics Properties for the Storage of Hydrogen Energy using Sponge Metals**

**Citation for published version:**

Mignard, D 2011, 'Materials with desirable Thermodynamics Properties for the Storage of Hydrogen Energy using Sponge Metals', Paper presented at 4th World Hydrogen Technologies Convention, 2011, Glasgow, United Kingdom, 14/09/11 - 16/09/11. <<http://www.whtc2011.org.uk/>>

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





**WHTC2011**  
**GLASGOW, SCOTLAND**

4th World Hydrogen Technologies Convention  
14th - 16th September 2011



# **Sponge Metals**

## **With Desirable Thermodynamic Properties**

## **For The Storage Of Hydrogen Energy**

**D. Mignard**

***Institute for Energy Systems***  
***The University of Edinburgh***  
***School of Engineering***

# How do we store hydrogen using sponge metal?

We do not store hydrogen itself, rather we store its energy in the form of a metal (a porous metal called a 'sponge').



During storage:



During release:



High temperature reaction (for good kinetics), 600-1000°C.



**Suitable particles made by e.g. mechanical mixing, precipitation, sol-gel, etc.**

# Why using sponge metal?

Up to 3-6 wt.% H<sub>2</sub> capacity – compact stationary storage

No need for pressurized containment

Cheap materials, can be non-toxic (e.g. iron)

Reduction step is a well known metallurgic process ('Direct Reduced Iron'), and the reverse oxidation is facile.

H<sub>2</sub> production from sponge iron was also common practice in the early chemical industry.

Delivery of pure hydrogen. For example, carbon monoxide would be screened out during the storage of hydrogen, via







Iron sponge factory, near Alibaug, Maharashtra (India) – (C) Lepley, 2007.

# How energy efficient?

Efficient chemical storage of  
wind / solar / etc. energy via hydrogen



(Mignard and Pritchard, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy*, 32 (2007), 5039 – 5049)

With suitable heat integration, iron sponge has the **best** energy efficiency amongst all processes for compact H<sub>2</sub> storage


## Why so efficient?

Storing and releasing hydrogen tends to waste energy:

During storage,



During release,



The sponge-iron process works the other way round:



which enables co-storage and co-generation of  $\text{H}_2$  + heat.



# **Current research in the field**



**Usually, research groups stick to iron, and seek to achieve**

- Improved resilience to sintering and attrition**
- Maintained activity over 1000's cycles**
- Improved kinetics**
- Lower operating temperature.**

**Typically, a hard support (alumina, silica, etc.) is mixed with the iron, together with some metallic additives. Fabrication technique is also important for obtaining stable but active contact mass.**


# But what about the process? - Our proposal

H<sub>2</sub> storage step requires high conversion per pass!



A high H<sub>2</sub> conversion per pass  $\geq$  should make the system cheaper, simpler, more efficient and safer.

A high conversion per pass is not as critical for the  $H_2$  release step (unreacted steam can be used for: heat recovery; proper emission control in  $H_2$  gas turbine; hybrid fuel cell / turbine power generation systems, etc).




Can we operate at lower temperature?

$T \leq 600\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  good for costs (and durability, as we found in the lab!)

However, lowering  $T$  also lowers the conversion per pass during  $\text{H}_2$  storage.

*From that point of view, iron is not the best metal.*




## TARGETS:

**Reduction stage** (hydrogen storage), must be endothermic  
with **H<sub>2</sub> equil. concentration  $\leq 5\%$  at T = 600 °C**

**Oxidation stage** (hydrogen release), must be exothermic  
with **H<sub>2</sub> equil. concentration  $\geq 50\%$  at T = 300 °C**


No single, common enough element can do this. We need an alloy of at least two metals M and M', such that for the reaction



the targets set above can be met.

# A bit of thermodynamics...

The previous targets are equivalent to setting for the reaction



the following requirements:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta S^\ominus_r &\leq -236 \text{ J/mol} && \text{(standard entropy of reaction)} \\ \Delta H^\ominus_{r, 298\text{K}} &\leq -572 \text{ kJ/mol} && \text{(standard enthalpy of reaction)}\end{aligned}$$

Further approximations:

$$\Delta H^\ominus_{r, 298\text{K}} \sim \Delta H^{\text{f},\ominus}_{298\text{K}} (\text{M}_x\text{M}'_y\text{O}_2),$$

the enthalpy of formation of the oxide.

$$\Delta S^\ominus_r = -205 + S^\ominus(\text{M}_x\text{M}'_y\text{O}_2) - S^\ominus(\text{M}_x\text{M}'_y)$$


**Hence the target properties of the alloy and the mixed oxide:**

$$S^\ominus(M_xM'_yO_2) - S^\ominus(M_xM'_y) \leq -31 \text{ J/mol}$$

$$\Delta H^{f,\ominus}_{298K}(M_xM'_yO_2) \leq -572 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

*(The first condition seems tough,  
and may need to be relaxed somewhat)*

Let's take  $y = 0$  and check a few elements  
– we visualize this on a Ellingham diagram (next slide)



**No single element is suitable...**

**Tentatively, mixtures of**

**Iron, Tin, Tungsten, Molybdenum, Nickel**

**could be considered – beware of melting points!**



# Can we *engineer* the right alloy/mixed oxide?

A wealth of literature for the prediction of enthalpies of formation and entropies.

*Some are accurate within a few %, e.g.*


*Schwitzgebel, Lowell and Parsons, 1971 (J. Chem. Eng. Data, 16(4), 418-423) predict  $\Delta H^{\text{f},\ominus}_{298\text{K}}$  of binary oxides from a rather empirical model, but within a few % of exp. values.*

*Holland, 1989 (American Mineralogist, 74, 5-13) predicts  $S^{\ominus}$  from molar volumes and coordination within a few %.*

*A universal but less accurate (up to  $\sim 10\%$  error) method for  $S^{\ominus}$  was demonstrated by Jenkins and Glasser, 2003 (Inorg. Chem., 42, 8702-8708) based on no more than the density.*

# Preliminary results - Experimental Validation

Observed hydrogen equilibrium concentration  
after cycling at 600°C



# **Challenges ahead**

- stability of the mixed oxide  
and phase segregation of the metal**
- emissions?**
- thermal management for maximum efficiency  
(heat recovery + insulation)**

**Thank you for your attention**